

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,449	01/16/2004	Chin-Jui Chang	65765-0085	7829
10291 7590 09/07/2007 RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 140			EXAMINER	
			PATTERSON, MARC A	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/759,449	CHANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marc A. Patterson	1772			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•	•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	ne 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	,				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	t(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/27/07</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/759,449 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

REPEATED REJECTIONS

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of Claims 1, 7, 11 13, 19 and 23 27 as being anticipated by Yokoyama et al (WO 97/294490), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 2, 4 6, 14, 16 18 as being unpatentable over Yokoyama et al in view of Wycech (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,486), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of Claims 3 and 15 as being unpatentable over Yokoyama et al in view of Wycech (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,486) and further in view of Kawasaki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,782,730), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.
- 4. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 8 9 and 20 21 as being unpatentable over Yokoyama et al in view of Wycech (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,486) and further in view of Rowland (U.S. Patent No. 4,692,475), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.
- 5. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 10 and 22 as being unpatentable over Yokoyama et al in view of Wycech (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,486) and Kawasaki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,782,730) and Rowland (U.S. Patent No. 4,692,475) and Bagga (U.S. Patent No. 5,021,513), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of Claims 1, 7,-11 – 13, 19 and 23 – 27 as being anticipated by Yokoyama et al (WO 97/294490), 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 2, 4 – 6, 14, 16 – 18 as being unpatentable over Yokoyama et al in view of Wycech (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,486), 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of Claims 3 and 15 as being unpatentable over Yokoyama et al in view of Wycech (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,486) and further in view of Kawasaki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,782,730), 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 8 – 9 and 20 – 21 as being unpatentable over Yokoyama et al in view of Wycech (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,486) and further in view of Rowland (U.S. Patent No. 4,692,475), and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 10 and 22 as being unpatentable over Yokoyama et al in view of Wycech (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,486) and Kawasaki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,782,730) and Rowland (U.S. Patent No. 4,692,475) and Bagga (U.S. Patent No. 5,021,513), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, have been carefully considered but have not been found to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues, on page 7 of the remarks dated June 22, 2007 that SBS is not a polystyrene.

However, as stated in the previous Action, SBS clearly contains polystyrene, and is therefore a polystyrene.

Applicant also argues, on page 8, that the 30% SBS disclosed by Yokoyama et al cannot be divided into three separate amounts.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,449

Art Unit: 1772

However, as stated in the previous Action, because SBS is a polystyrene, and a block copolymer and a rubber, and therefore may be divided.

Applicant also argues, on page 9, that Yokoyama et al and Wycech are references from different fields.

However, Yokoyama et al is directed to a rubber composition, and it is unclear how the uses disclosed by Wycech are excluded by Yokoyama et al.

Applicant also argues, on page 10, that the claimed ranges of weight percent are not obvious in view of Yokoyama et al.

However, as stated on page 2 of the previous Action, the claimed ranges of weight percent are anticipated by Yokoyama et al.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,449 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marc A. Patterson, PhD. Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772